



SUSANA MARTINEZ  
Governor  
JOHN A. SANCHEZ  
Lt. Governor

**NEW MEXICO  
ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT**

Harold Runnels Building  
1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505)  
P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469  
Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160  
[www.env.nm.gov](http://www.env.nm.gov)



RYAN FLYNN  
Cabinet Secretary  
BUTCH TONGATE  
Deputy Secretary

**CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

April 20, 2016

Mr. Javier Solis, Owner  
Earth Day Recycling  
5425 Edith Blvd.  
Albuquerque, NM 87107

Re: Industrial Storm Water; SIC 5093; NPDES Compliance Evaluation Inspection; Earth Day Recycling;  
NMR053128; April 1, 2016

Dear Mr. Solis,

Enclosed please find a copy of the report and check list for the referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) conducted at your facility on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

Introduction, treatment scheme, and problems noted during this inspection are discussed in the "Further Explanations" section of the inspection report.

You are encouraged to review the inspection report, required to correct any problems noted during the inspection, and advised to modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate. If you have comments on or concerns with the basis for the findings in the NMED inspection report, please contact us (see the address below) in writing within 30 days from the date of this letter. Further, you are encouraged to notify in writing both the USEPA and NMED regarding modifications and compliance schedules at the addresses below:

Gladys Gooden-Jackson  
US Environmental Protection Agency, Region VI  
Enforcement Branch (6EN-WM)  
1445 Ross Avenue  
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

Bruce Yurdin  
New Mexico Environment Department  
Surface Water Quality Bureau  
Point Source Regulation Section  
P.O. Box 5469  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502

If you have any questions about this inspection report, please contact Sarah Holcomb at 505-827-2798 or at [sarah.holcomb@state.nm.us](mailto:sarah.holcomb@state.nm.us).

Sincerely,

*/s/ Bruce Yurdin*

Bruce J. Yurdin  
Program Manager  
Point Source Regulation Section  
Surface Water Quality Bureau

cc: Rashida Bowlin, USEPA (6EN-AS) by e-mail  
Carol Peters-Wagnon, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail  
Gladys Gooden-Jackson, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail  
Racquel Douglas, USEPA (6EN-WC) by e-mail  
Everett Spencer, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail  
NMED District 1, William Chavez by e-mail



Form Approved  
OMB No. 2040-0003  
Approval Expires 7-31-85

## NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

### Section A: National Data System Coding

Transaction Code	NPDES	yr/mo/day	Inspection Type	Inspector	Fac Type
1 N 2 5 3 N M R 0 5 3 1 2 8 11 12 1 6 0 4 0 1 17 18 C 19 S 20 2					
Remarks					
S I C 5 0 9 3 R E C Y C L I N G					
Inspection Work Days	Facility Evaluation Rating	BI	QA	Reserved	
67 69	70 3	71 N	72 N	73	74 75 80

### Section B: Facility Data

Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Earth Day Recycling, 5425 Edith Blvd., Albuquerque, Bernalillo County, NM: From I-25 take Montano Blvd west to Edith. Turn south on Edith to the facility.	Entry Time /Date 0947 hours / 4-1-16	Permit Effective Date 6-4-2015
	Exit Time/Date 1117 hours / 4-1-16	Permit Expiration Date 6-4-2020
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Mr. Salvador Solis, Site Manager, Earth Day Recycling	Other Facility Data	
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Mr. Javier Solis, Owner, Earth Day Recycling 5425 Edith Blvd., Albuquerque, NM 87107	Contacted Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SIC: 5093

### Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)

S	Permit	N	Flow Measurement	S	Operations & Maintenance	N	CSO/SSO
M	Records/Reports	M	Self-Monitoring Program	N	Sludge Handling/Disposal	N	Pollution Prevention
M	Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Schedules	N	Pretreatment	N	Multimedia
S	Effluent/Receiving Waters	N	Laboratory	S	Storm Water	N	Other:

### Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

1. The inspector arrived on site at 0947 hours on April 1, 2016 and conducted an entrance interview with Mr. Salvador Solis, Facility Manager, where she made introductions, presented credentials and explained the purpose of the inspection. An exit interview was conducted at 1110 hours on the same day with Mr. Solis, where the inspector presented the preliminary findings of the inspection. Please see report for further information.

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Sarah Holcomb /s/ Sarah Holcomb	Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax 505-827-2798	Date 4-20-16
Signature of Management QA Reviewer Bruce Yurdin /s/ Bruce Yurdin	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers 505-827-2795	Date 4-20-16

# NPDES Industrial Storm Water Checklist (MSGP)

<u>National Database Information</u>			<u>General</u>	
Inspection Type	CEI		Inspector Name	Sarah Holcomb
NPDES ID Number	NMR053128		Telephone	505-827-2798
Inspection Date	4-1-16		Entry Time	0947 hours
Inspector Type <i>(circle one)</i>	EPA	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 0 5px;">State</span>	EPA Oversight	
Facility Sector/ SIC/Activity Code	Sector N SIC 5093		Exit Time	1117 hours
			Signature	/s/ Sarah Holcomb

<u>Facility Location Information</u>				
Name/Location/ Mailing Address	Earth Day Recycling, 5425 Edith Blvd., Albuquerque, NM 87107			
GPS Coordinates	Latitude		Longitude	
Receiving Water(s)	Albuquerque MS4 thence to Rio Grande in segment 20.6.4.105 NMAC			

<u>Contact Information</u>		
	Name(s)	Telephone
Name(s) and Role(s) of All Parties Meeting the Definition of Operator	Earth Day Recycling	
Facility Contact	Mr. Salvador Solis, Site Manager	505-232-9211
Authorized Official(s)	Mr. Javier Solis, Owner	505-232-9211

<u>Basic Permit Information</u>			<u>Basic SWPPP Information</u>		
Permit Coverage	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 0 2px;">Y</span>	N	SWPPP Prepared & Available	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 0 2px;">Y</span>	N
Permit Type	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 0 5px;">General</span>	Individual	SWPPP Contents Satisfactory	Y	N
Operational Date	2008		SWPPP Implementation Satisfactory	Y	N
NOI/Application Date			SWPPP Date	7-2-15	
If applicable, is no exposure certification on file?	Y	N	<i>Intentionally left blank</i>		

## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Checklist (MSGP)

SWPPP Review			
<u>General</u>	Notes:		
Was the SWPPP completed prior to NOI submission?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Copy of the NOI and acknowledgment letter from EPA?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	NOI approved 10-1-2015. Revised 11-23-2015.
Copy of the permit language?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Have copies of inspection reports/all other documentation been retained as part of the SWPPP for 3 years from date permit coverage expires?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
<p>Does the SWPPP contain a signed/certified statement indicating that the site is inactive and unstaffed, and that there are no industrial materials or activities exposed to precipitation, in accordance with the substantive requirements in 40 CFR 122.26(g)(4)(iii)?</p> <p>Applicable to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Routine facility inspection (3.1.1)</li> <li>Quarterly visual assessment (3.2.3)</li> <li>Benchmark monitoring (6.2.1.3).</li> </ul>	Y	N	N/A
Does the SWPPP include copies of relevant parts of other documents (e.g., SPCC) referenced in the SWPPP?	Y	N	N/A
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under the Endangered Species Act?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	Certified Criterion E.
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under the Historic Preservation Act?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under NEPA (New Source)?	Y	N	N/A
Did all "operators" sign/certify the SWPPP?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	Mr. Javier Solis signed the SWPPP on 7-2-2015.
Is the storm water pollution prevention team identified (name or title)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Are the storm water pollution prevention team's responsibilities identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	

## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Checklist (MSGP)

<u>Site Description</u>			<b>Notes:</b>
SWPPP provides a description of the facility's industrial activities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	Recycling of non-ferrous, copper, aluminum, brass, plastic, cardboard and other diverse materials.
Is there a general location map (e.g., USGS quadrangle map) with enough detail to identify the location of the facility and all receiving waters for storm water discharges?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Is there a site specific site map?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the site map contain the size of the property in acres?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	The site is located on 2 acres of land, 75% of which is impervious.
Does the site map contain the location and extent of significant structures and impervious surfaces?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the site map contain directions of storm water flow (indicated by arrows)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the site map contain locations of all existing structural control measures?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the site map contain locations of all receiving waters in the immediate vicinity of the facility, indicating if any of the waters are impaired, and if so, whether the waters have TMDLs established for them?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	Receiving waters are not shown. Site discharges to Albuquerque MS4 thence to the Rio Grande.
Does the site map contain locations of all storm water conveyances including ditches, pipes and swales?	Y	N	N/A
Does the site map contain locations of all potential pollutants and significant materials identified under Part 5.2.2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the site map contain locations where significant spills or leaks identified under Part 5.2.3.3 have occurred?	Y	N	N/A – no spills have occurred in the past three years according to facility representatives.
Does the site map contain locations of all storm water monitoring points?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the site map contain locations of storm water inlets and outfalls, with a unique identification (e.g., 001, 002) for each outfall and if substantially identical?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the site map contain municipal separate storm sewers and where the facility discharges to them?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the site map contain locations and descriptions of all non-storm water discharges?	Y	N	N/A – no non stormwater discharges.

## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Checklist (MSGP)

<u>Site Description</u>			<u>Notes:</u>
<p>Does the site map contain locations of the following activities where these activities are exposed to precipitation?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fueling stations</li> <li>• Vehicle and equipment maintenance and/or cleaning areas</li> <li>• Loading/unloading areas</li> <li>• Locations used for the treatment, storage or disposal of wastes</li> <li>• Liquid storage tanks</li> <li>• Processing and storage areas</li> <li>• Immediate access roads and rail lines used or travelled by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste materials, or by-products used or created by the facility</li> <li>• Transfer areas for substances in bulk</li> <li>• Machinery</li> </ul>	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	Only item missing from this section/question is the unloading and loading areas.
Does the site map contain locations and sources of run-on to the site from adjacent property that contains significant quantities of pollutants?	Y	N	N/A – no evaluation has been done or is needed at this time.
Does the SWPPP document areas at the facility where industrial materials or activities are exposed to storm water and from which allowable non-storm water discharges are released?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	According to facility representative, there have been no discharges from the facility at all.
Does the SWPPP include a list of the industrial activities exposed to storm water (e.g., material storage; equipment fueling, maintenance, and cleaning; cutting steel beams)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	Loading, unloading, stockpiling, material processing are all exposed to stormwater.
Does the SWPPP include a list of pollutants and/or pollutant constituents associated with each identified activity?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	Blanket list is included in the SWPPP. The pollutants should be pared down to what is specific for this facility.
Does the SWPPP include documentation of where spills and leaks occurred for three years prior to the preparation of the SWPPP?	Y	N	N/A

## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Checklist (MSGP)

<b>Site Description</b>			<b>Notes:</b>
<p>Does the SWPPP include a non-storm water discharge evaluation in the SWPPP? Does it include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Date</li> <li>Description of evaluation criteria</li> <li>List of the outfalls or onsite drainage points directly observed</li> <li>Different types of non-storm water discharges and source locations</li> <li>Actions taken such as a list of control measures for elimination.</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	<p>This evaluation was performed on 9-24-2015 by the facility's consultant.</p>
Does salt storage occur at this facility?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Does the SWPPP include a summary of storm water sampling data for the previous permit term?	Y	N	N/A – no discharges prior to this permit coverage.
<b>Controls to Reduce Pollutants</b>			<b>Notes:</b>
Does the SWPPP include documentation of the location and type of control measures at the facility to comply with the requirements in Part 2?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Very general description. Should be modified to reflect specific BMPs and the rationale incorporating the requirements in Part 2 that went into the selection of those BMPs.
Does the SWPPP include documentation that selection and design of control measures were based on a consideration of the practices and procedures in Part 2.1.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	
Does the SWPPP include measures to minimize the exposure of manufacturing, processing, and material storage areas (including loading and unloading, storage, disposal, cleaning, maintenance, and fueling operations) to rain, snow, snowmelt, and runoff by either locating these industrial materials and activities inside or protecting them with storm resistant coverings?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SWPPP does not currently evaluate exposure minimization. The facility could consider adding covers to prevent exposure where possible.
Does the SWPPP include good housekeeping measures (e.g., keeping all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants clean, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals, keeping materials orderly and labeled, and storing materials in appropriate containers)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	SWPPP discusses maintenance of equipment, trash pickup, availability of spill kits and the loading/unloading process.



## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Checklist (MSGP)

<u>Controls to Reduce Pollutants</u>			<u>Notes:</u>
Does the SWPPP include a schedule for pickup and disposal of wastes and routine inspections of tanks and drums?	Y	N	N/A
Does the SWPPP include preventative maintenance procedures, including regular inspections, testing, maintenance, and repair of all industrial equipment and systems, and control measures, and back-up practices should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off-line?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	
Does the SWPPP include a schedule for preventative maintenance procedures?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the SWPPP include procedures for minimizing the potential for leaks, spills and other releases that may be exposed to storm water and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	
Does the facility implement procedures for plainly labeling containers (e.g., "Used Oil," "Spent Solvents," "Fertilizers and Pesticides," etc.) that could be susceptible to spillage or leakage to encourage proper handling and facilitate rapid response if spills or leaks occur?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	More labeling is needed on containers.
Does the facility implement preventative measures such as barriers between material storage and traffic areas, secondary containment provisions, and procedures for material storage and handling?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the facility implement procedures for expeditiously stopping, containing, and cleaning up leaks, spills, and other releases?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	Dry absorbent materials are available, but there is no specific spill kit. Dirt is applied over the asphalt and is removed every 1-2 years and replaced with clean dirt as a cleaning method.
Does the facility train employees who may cause, detect, or respond to a spill or leak in these procedures and have necessary spill response equipment available?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	Only documented training was 2-3 years ago. There will be a training coming up in June that will be taught by the facility's consultant.
Does the facility document and follow procedures for notification of appropriate facility personnel, emergency response agencies, and regulatory agencies?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	Facility has not had to notify for an emergency event. General procedures are discussed in the SWPPP, but phone numbers should be included.

## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Checklist (MSGP)

<b>Controls to Reduce Pollutants</b>			<b>Notes:</b>
Does the SWPPP document erosion and sediment controls?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	Processing is done in the warehouse. Natural vegetation is left in place.
Does the facility stabilize exposed areas and contain runoff using structural and/or non-structural control measures to minimize onsite erosion and sedimentation, and the resulting discharge of pollutants?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	
Does the facility place flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels where necessary to reduce erosion and/or settle out pollutants?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	There is a berm located at Outfall 001 that would be meant to slow down flow and settle out pollutants before discharge.
If the facility stores salt at this facility, are the piles enclosed or covered? Does the facility implement appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile?	Y	N	N/A
Employee Training – is there a schedule for regular (at least annually) employee training?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	However, it is not documented in the SWPPP.
Does training cover both the specific control measures used to achieve the effluent limits in Part 2 and monitoring, inspection, planning, reporting, and documentation requirements in other parts of the permit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the facility ensure that waste, garbage, and floatable debris are not discharged to receiving waters by keeping exposed areas free of such materials or by intercepting them before they are discharged?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	Trash pickup occurs every Tuesday, according to facility representative.
Does the facility minimize generation of dust and off-site tracking of raw, final, or waste materials?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Has the facility eliminated non-storm water discharges not authorized by an NPDES permit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	

## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Checklist (MSGP)

### Notes on SWPPP Review

#### **Site Description:**

This facility is a general recycling facility, and accepts materials ranging from scrap metal to cardboard, batteries and appliances. No liquid wastes are accepted at this facility. Wastes are segregated according to type and then packaged for another vendor to remove them from this recycling facility. Batteries are stored in a trailer away from stormwater exposure. Routine trash pick up is conducted every Tuesday, but due to the observation of lunch trash on the day of this inspection, it was recommended that trash pick up occur more often, or as needed.

## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Checklist (MSGP)

Inspections (Part 4)			
<u>General</u>	Notes:		
<b>Routine Facility Inspections</b>			
Are routine facility inspections conducted at least quarterly while facility operating?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Are inspections documented, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Date and time Y</li> <li>Name and signature of inspector N</li> <li>Weather information and a description of discharge occurring at the time of the inspection N</li> <li>Previously unidentified discharges from site Y</li> <li>Control measures needing maintenance or repairs Y</li> <li>Failed control measures that need replacement Y</li> <li>Incidents of noncompliance observed Y</li> <li>Additional control measures needed. Y</li> </ul>	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	Form needs to include weather information and the name and signature of the inspector.
Exceptions, including (see 3.1.1): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inactive and unstaffed sites</li> </ul>	Y	N	N/A
<b>Quarterly Visual Assessment</b>			None have been documented in the SWPPP due to no discharge from the facility (According to facility rep)
Are quarterly visual assessments conducted?	Y	N	
Does the assessment consist of a sample collected: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Within the first 30 minutes of discharge</li> <li>On discharges that occur at least 72 hours (3 days) from the previous discharge</li> <li>Collected in a clean, clear glass or plastic container.</li> </ul>	Y	N	

## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Checklist (MSGP)

Inspections			
Are assessments documented, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sample location</li> <li>Sample collection date/time &amp; visual assessment date/time</li> <li>Personnel collecting sample &amp; performing assessment and their signature</li> <li>Nature of the discharge (runoff or snowmelt)</li> <li>Results of observations (including color, odor, clarity, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, oil sheen and other obvious indicators)</li> <li>Probable sources of contamination</li> <li>If applicable, reason for not taking samples within 1<sup>st</sup> 30 minutes.</li> </ul>	Y	N	
Exceptions, including (see 3.2.3): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adverse weather conditions</li> <li>Climates with irregular storm water runoff</li> <li>Areas subject to snow</li> <li>Substantially identical outfalls (per 5.2.5.3)</li> <li>Inactive and unstaffed sites.</li> </ul>	Y	N	

Monitoring (Part 6)			
<u>General</u>			Notes:
Does the SWPPP contain a procedure for conducting sector (and co-located) specific benchmark monitoring?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	The SWPPP only says that it needs to occur. Does not give specific instructions on how to complete the monitoring.
Does the SWPPP contain procedures for conducting effluent limitations guidelines monitoring?	Y	N	N/A
Does the SWPPP contain a procedure for other monitoring (state or tribal specific; impaired waters; other as required)	Y	N	N/A
Are samples analyzed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136 methods?	Y	N	No samples have been collected. No detail on this is presently in the SWPPP.
<b>Benchmark Monitoring</b>			
Does the monitoring consist of a sample collected: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Within the first 30 minutes of discharge</li> <li>On discharges that occur at least 72 hours (3 days) from the previous discharge</li> </ul>	Y	N	No monitoring has been conducted due to no discharge from the site, according to facility representative.

## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Checklist (MSGP)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Document the date and duration (in hours) of the rainfall event, rainfall total (snow - date only) for that rainfall</li> <li>Prior to commingling.</li> </ul>			
Is monitoring conducted during each of the first four full quarterly (calendar) monitoring periods following permit coverage?	Y	N	
Is the average of the first four quarterly samples < the parameter benchmark?	Y	N	
Is the average of the first four quarterly samples > the parameter benchmark? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Make the necessary modifications</li> <li>Continue quarterly monitoring</li> <li>Determine and document that no further pollutant reductions are technologically available and economically practicable and achievable, continue monitoring once per year, notify EPA</li> <li>Natural background pollutant level documentation</li> </ul>	Y	N	
Exceptions, including (see 6.1.5, 6.1.6 & 6.2.1.3): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adverse weather conditions</li> <li>Climates with irregular storm water runoff</li> <li>Snowmelt</li> <li>Substantially identical outfalls (per 5.1.5.2)</li> <li>Inactive and unstaffed sites.</li> </ul>	Y	N	
<b>Effluent Limitations Monitoring (Sector A, C, D, E, J, K, L, O, S)</b>			N/A
Sampled once per year?	Y	N	
Follow-up requirements if discharge exceeds effluent limit (see 6.2.2.3)?	Y	N	
<b>Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations</b>			Notes:
Does the facility discharge to water quality impaired waters?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	Rio Grande is impaired for E. coli, gross alpha, PCBs, temperature and dissolved oxygen.
If TMDL exists, does the facility need to monitor?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TMDL is currently for E. coli. This facility is not a likely source of E. coli.
Is the facility monitoring all 303(d) pollutants in the first surface water to which they discharge?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	There is no procedure in the SWPPP to ensure that this is taking place.
Does the facility discharge to a CERCLA site?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Additional monitoring required by EPA?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Worksheet (Construction)

Reporting (Part 7) Information must be submitted using NeT for NOI, NEC, NOT and Annual Report.			<u>DMRs must be submitted using NetDMR</u>
<u>General</u>			Notes:
Is facility a new discharger or new source to water quality impaired waters? Has the facility submitted this information to EPA Region 6?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	
If there was a facility exceedance under numeric effluent limitations, was a report submitted to EPA within 30 days?	Y	N	N/A
Did the facility submit benchmark or ELG monitoring through NetDMR?	Y	N	If there is a sample taken, they will submit the data through NetDMR.
Did the facility submit Annual Reports to EPA through NeT? (Due January 30 of each year)	Y	N	They will, but the first report will not be due until January 2017.
If follow up monitoring per 6.2.2.3 exceeds a numeric limit, did the facility submit an Exceedance Report (paper) to EPA Region 6 in addition to reporting the monitoring data through NetDMR?	Y	N	N/A

## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Worksheet (Construction)

SWPPP Implementation	
<b>Measures to minimize the exposure of manufacturing, processing, and material storage areas (including loading and unloading, storage, disposal, cleaning, maintenance, and fueling operations) to rain, snow, snowmelt, and runoff</b>	<p><i>(e.g., use grading, berming, or curbing to prevent runoff of contaminated flows and divert run-on away; locate materials, equipment, and activities so that leaks are contained in existing containment and diversion systems; clean up spills and leaks promptly using dry methods (e.g., absorbents) to prevent the discharge of pollutants; use drip pans and absorbents under or around leaky vehicles and equipment or store indoors where feasible; use spill/overflow protection equipment; drain fluids from equipment and vehicles prior to on-site storage or disposal; perform all cleaning operations indoors, under cover, or in bermed areas that prevent runoff and run-on and also that capture any overspray; and ensure that all washwater drains to a proper collection system)</i></p> <p>Facility is on a lot that is 75% impervious (asphalt). Sand/dirt is applied to the entire lot to capture spills and leaks. This material is removed every year and disposed of at the landfill and new dirt is brought in. Absorbent materials are available to capture spills if needed. The inspector suggested that a formal spill kit with pigs and other materials (PPE, etc.) be kept at the facility. Other than indoor containment for batteries, other materials recycled at this facility are kept outdoors and are exposed to stormwater.</p>
<b>Good Housekeeping</b>	<p><i>(e.g., keeping all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants clean, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals, keeping materials orderly and labeled, and storing materials in appropriate containers)</i></p> <p>More labeling needs to occur on drums and other containers located at the south side of the facility.</p>
<b>Preventative maintenance</b>	<p><i>(e.g., regular inspections, testing, maintenance, and repair of all industrial equipment and systems, and control measures, and back-up practices should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off-line)</i></p> <p>Maintenance occurs on equipment located on site. Drip pans are used. More likely, though, equipment is taken offsite and serviced if maintenance is required.</p>

SWPPP Implementation	
<b>Spill Prevention and Response</b>	<p><i>(e.g., minimizing the potential for leaks, spills and other releases that may be exposed to storm water and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur)</i></p> <p>Dry absorbent materials are located on site but a spill kit should be kept available.</p>



## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Worksheet (Construction)

<b>Erosion and Sediment Controls</b>	<p><i>(e.g., stabilize exposed areas and contain runoff using structural and/or non-structural control measures to minimize onsite erosion and sedimentation, flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels)</i></p> <p>No discrete erosion and sediment controls are located on site other than the berm located at Outfall 001 to prevent discharge.</p>
<b>Management of Runoff</b>	<p><i>(e.g., divert, infiltrate, reuse, contain, or otherwise reduce storm water runoff, to minimize pollutants in discharges)</i></p> <p>The berm at Outfall 001 has been successful in preventing any discharge, according to facility representative.</p>
<b>Salt Storage Piles</b>	<p><i>(e.g., enclose or cover piles appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile)</i></p> <p>No salt storage occurs at this site.</p>
<b>SWPPP Implementation</b>	
<b>Waste, Garbage and Floatable Debris</b>	<p><i>(e.g., keep exposed areas free of such materials or by intercepting them before they are discharged)</i></p> <p>Regular trash pickup occurs every Tuesday, according to facility representative. However, site conditions indicate that trash pickup may need to occur on a more frequent or as needed basis.</p>
<b>Evidence of non-storm water discharges</b>	<p>No evidence of non-stormwater discharges was observed on the day of this inspection.</p>
<b>Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials</b>	<p><i>(minimize generation of dust and off-site tracking of raw, final, or waste materials)</i></p> <p>No tracking of industrial materials was observed on the day of this inspection.</p>

NMED/SWQB

**Official Photograph Log**

Photo # 1

Photographer: Sarah Holcomb	Date: 4-1-2016	Time: 1058 hours *
City/County: Bernalillo County, NM		
Location: Earth Day Recycling, 5425 Edith Blvd.		
Subject: Liquid storage area. Not all containers were labeled as needed, and there was lunch trash needing disposal.		



\* Camera was not adjusted for daylight savings time.



NMED/SWQB

**Official Photograph Log**

Photo # 2

Photographer: Sarah Holcomb	Date: 4-1-2016	Time: 1102 hours *
City/County: Bernalillo County, NM		
Location: Earth Day Recycling, 5425 Edith Blvd.		
Subject: Outfall 001 and the berm that prevents discharge. Parking lot behind Outfall 001 is the US Post Office's facility. Flow would progress through the parking lot to the MS4.		



\* Camera was not adjusted for daylight savings time.